

BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS' MEETING

Tuesday, April 23, 1985

Present: Commissioner George R. Aud, President
Commissioner Larry Millison, Vice President
Commissioner Richard D. Arnold
Commissioner David F. Sayre
Edward V. Cox, County Administrator
Judith A. Spalding, Recording Secretary

(Commissioner Dean was not present at the start of the meeting.)

CALL TO ORDER

The meeting was called to order at 9:10 a.m.

APPROVAL OF MINUTES

Commissioner Arnold moved, seconded by Commissioner Sayre, to approve the minutes of the Commissioners' meeting of Tuesday, April 16, 1985 as submitted. Motion carried.

APPROVAL OF BILLS

Commissioner Aud moved, seconded by Commissioner Sayre, to approve payment of the bills as submitted with the exception of Voucher #001261. Motion carried.

Commissioner Arnold moved, seconded by Commissioner Sayre, to approve payment of Voucher #001261. Three Commissioners voted in favor with Commissioner Millison abstaining. Motion carried.

ALCOHOL AND AND DRUG ABUSE PREVENTIVE PLAN

Present: Phebe Barth, Youth Services Coordinator
Joseph Dick, Alcohol and Drug Abuse Task Force (Children & Youth)

The referenced individuals appeared before the Commissioners to seek approval of the concept of a grant proposal and application in the amount of \$9,584 for a Alcohol and Drug Abuse Preventive Plan which must be submitted to the Alcoholism Control Administration by April 29. A briefing paper was presented which outlined the project and set forth the background, purpose and goals. (A copy of the paper is on file in the Commissioners' Office.)

The State is interested in having each jurisdiction develop a prevention plan and to appoint a prevention coordinator. Ms. Barth stated that it is the recommendation of the Task Force that this function be part of the Office of Children and Youth. She stated that she hoped that the Commissioners would soon approve the pending decision to expand the Office of Children and Youth under the title of Office of Community Services.

After some discussion, Commissioner Arnold moved, seconded by Commissioner Sayre, to approve the proposal and to authorize Commissioner President Aud to sign the Application when it is prepared. Motion carried.

PUBLIC HEARING
ALARM USER PERMIT ORDINANCE

Present: Paul Wible, Emergency Operations Center
Lt. James Plunkert, Maryland State Police
Sgt. Michael Muth
Charles Cox, Cox Alarms

The Commissioners conducted a public hearing was relative to a proposed Ordinance for the purpose of establishing an alarm user permit, permit fees, false alarm assessments, and revocation procedures.

In response to Commissioner Aud's inquiry if anyone wished to make any comments, Mr. Charles Cox raised certain questions regarding implementation.

In conclusion the Commissioners advised that they would make a decision on the adoption of the Ordinance in two weeks.

COUNTY ADMINISTRATOR ITEMS

Present: Edward V. Cox, County Administrator

1) APPOINTMENTS
BOARDS, COMMITTEES AND COMMISSIONS

Commissioner Arnold moved, seconded by Commissioner Sayre, and unanimously carried to make the following appointments:

Domestic Violence/Sexual Assault Board

Susan Claggett, Family Service Center, NAS, for a term to expire June 30, 1988;

TRANSPORATION COMMITTEE

Gene Townsend for a term to expire June 30, 1986.

TREASURER STUDY COMMITTEE

(Chamber of Commerce Representative)
Richard W. Lepper, DMD

(Democratic Central Committee Representative)
Harry S. Lancaster, Jr. (Alternate - Sally Johnson)

(Republican Central Committee Representative)
Geraldine Gallagher

(Treasurer Representative)
Brady Bounds

(Legislative Delegation Representative)
Edsel Potter

(Bar Association Representative)
Ruth Sterling, Esq.

(County Commissioners Representative)
Jack E. Lenderman

(COMMISSIONER MILLISON LEFT MEETING - 9:55 A.M.)

2) PERSONNEL

The County Administrator presented the following personnel items for the Commissioners' review and consideration:

a) Human Services Worker I
Department of Social Services

Memorandum dated April 18, 1985 from Personnel Officer recommending that the Commissioners appoint Peggy Jarboe to the Human Services Worker I position, vacated by Janell Shaffer-Yoder, in the Department of Social Services, effective April 29.

Commissioner Sayre moved, seconded by Commissioner Aud, to accept this recommendation. Motion carried.

b) Clerk-Typist Position
Department of Recreation & Parks

Memorandum dated April 23, 1985 from Personnel Officer recommending the appointment of Elizabeth Cusic to the Clerk Typist position, Grade 5, vacated by Catherine Lepper, effective April 29.

Commissioner Aud moved, seconded by Commissioner Dean, to accept this recommendation. Motion carried.

(This item was presented later in the day after Commissioner Dean arrived to the meeting. Commissioner Millison was also present for this item.)

3) BUDGET AMENDMENTS

The County Administrator presented the following Budget Amendments recommended for approval by the Budget Director with justifications as indicated:

a) No. 85-77
Recreation and Parks

Justification: Based on County Commissioners' approval to seek state matching funds and begin development Phase One of Nicolet Park. In addition, provide estimated funds to complete miscellaneous development at 5th District Park.

b) No. 85-78
Economic & Community Development

Justification: Estimated balance lapse in tourism salary account. Funds to be used in expanding grant funds concerning cooperative advertising and P.I.C.

c) No. 85-79
Public Works

Justification: Computer network and intra-account transfer to attend previously approved conference. Funds to come from estimated savings in budgeted accounts.

Commissioner Arnold moved, seconded by Commissioner Sayre, to approve and authorize Commissioner President Aud to sign said Budget Amendments. Motion carried.

4) CORRESPONDENCE

The County Administrator presented the following items of correspondence for the Commissioners' review and signatures:

- a) To Capt. Welch, NAS, re construction of a Navy Lodge aboard the Naval Air Station. The Commissioners agreed to sign and forward said letter.
- b) To Dr. Marek, County Health Officer regarding the Health Department occupying the nursing home building when it is vacated. The Commissioners agreed to sign and forward said letter.

(COMMISSIONER MILLISON RETURNED TO THE MEETING - 10:04 A.M.)

- c) To Chairperson of Marcey House Board of Directors expressing the County's willingness to fund 25% local match for the Marcey House facility and to provide county-owned land, if judged suitable. The Commissioners agreed to sign and forward said letter.

5) ST. MARY'S COUNTY NURSING HOME ATRIUM

The County Administrator presented correspondence from the Director of the St. Mary's County Nursing Home requesting approval for the Nursing Home Board of Directors to authorize constructoin of a roofing over the atrium located within the Nursing Home. Authority by the Commissioners in that it is county-owned property. The Commissioners gave their concurrence.

6) MACo WORKGROUP
LANDFILL REGULATIONS

The County Administrator advised that Maryland Association of Counties is forming a workgroup on landfill regulations and is requesting the County to designate a county official to serve. Mr. Cox recommended that the Department of Public Works be so designated. The Commissioners gave their concurrence.

REPORTED RABIES CASE

Commissioner Arnold advised that he has been informed of the possibility of rabies in the Chaptico area and requested the County Administrator to contact the Health Department for more details.

SALE OF TRACTORS AND BUSH HOGS

Present: Paul Raley, Director, Purchasing and Logistic Services

Mr. Raley presented the bid tally sheet for the sale of county-owned tractors and bush hogs and recommended awarding the bids to the highest bidders as follows:

Massey Ferguson Tractor #5 to John A. Adams in the amount of \$2,800;

Massey Ferguson Tractor #6 to John A. Adams in the amount of \$2,800;

Massey Ferguson Bush Hog to Elizabeth Rustin in the amount of \$200.75;

Wood's Bush Hog to John A. Adams in the amount of \$150;

Wood's Bush Hog to John A. Adams in the amount of \$175;

Mr. Raley advised that John Adams and Donald Stauffer both bid \$50 on a Massey Ferguson Bush Hog, and the Commissioners suggested that he contact them to determine the best method for awarding this bid.

Commissioner Aud moved, seconded by Commissioner Arnold, to award the bids to the highest bidders as recommended by Mr. Raley. Motion carried.

BOARD OF EDUCATION BUDGET

As a result of the Public Hearing last night on the Board of Education's budget, Commissioner Millison requested that a letter be prepared to the Board of Education siting funds that had been appropriated last year and recommended this year for roof replacements and textbooks and inquiring as to how these funds had been used. The Commissioners gave their concurrence.

Commissioner Millison also requested that inquiries be made to determine if the roofing of the schools are covered by construction bonds.

Commissioner Arnold further suggested that after the budget hearings the Commissioners should make a point to visit the various schools in the County and make a personal investigation. He stated that this should be done on an annual basis.

DEPARTMENT OF ECONOMIC & COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT

Present: Joseph Mitchell, Director, County Dept. of Economic &
Community Development
Nancy Hutson, Economic & Community Development

1) COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT BLOCK GRANT ST. MARY'S REVOLVING LOAN PROGRAM

The referenced individuals appeared before the Commissioners to explain the CDBG Program and to seek approval of the grant application for the St. Mary's Revolving Loan Program to be submitted to HUD for FY '85.

Mr. Mitchell and Mrs. Hutson reviewed the activities planned for low to moderate income families for the grant as follows:

- a) Loans for essential home repair;
- b) Loans to purchase affordable mobile homes;
- c) Loans for affordable home site purchase;
- d) "Project Self-Sufficiency";
- e) Acquisition of developable land for low cost rental housing.

After discussion, Commissioner Arnold moved, seconded by Commissioner Millison, to approve and authorize Commissioner President Aud to sign the grant application in the amount of \$453,409 to be submitted to HUD. Motion carried.

2) NON-PROFIT DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION

As a follow up to discussion on April 2, 1985, Mr. Mitchell requested authorization from the Commissioners for the establishment of a nine-member non-profit development corporation as required by HUD for the administration of HUD loans.

After discussion, Commissioner Millison moved, seconded by Commissioner Sayre, to approve the establishment of the St. Mary's County Community Development Corporation, subject to comments by the County Administrator and Assistant County Attorney regarding the Articles of Incorporation. Motion carried.

ALCOHOL BEVERAGE BOARD

Present: Gaylord Aschenbeck
Jack Fletcher
L. Stanley Buckler
Joe Taylor, Inspector
Patsy Insley, Secretary to Board

Representatives of the Alcohol Beverage Board appeared before the Commissioners to request reconsideration of the its FY '86 budgetary request; i.e., funds for contract services (taking of minutes), operating supplies, as well as to make the secretary to the Board permanent part-time in order for her to keep up with th amount of work inthe office and for her to be eligible to receive fringe benefits. Also mentioned was the fact that legislation was passed increasing the membership on the Board from three to five members, which will increase the workload of the office.

(COMMISSIONER DEAN ENTERED THE MEETING - 10:40 A.M.)

After discussion, the Commissioners agreed to give consideration to this request during their budget deliberations.

DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS

Present: John Norris, Director

1) BID TALLY SHEETS

Mr. Norris presented the following bid sheets and recommended awarding the bids for the purchase of equipment as indicated:

Diesel Engine Powered Elevating Scraper Pan

To Alban Tractor in the amount of \$44,451;

Diesel Engine Powered Track Front End Loader

To Alban Tractor in the amount of \$80,026.

Commissioner Dean moved, seconded by Commissioner Arnold, to accept Mr. Norris' recommendation. Motion carried.

2) AUTHORIZATION FOR EXTRA WORK ORDER
ASPHALT PROJECTS

Mr. Norris presented Authorization for Extra Work No. 1 in the amount of \$531,350 payable to Arundel Asphalt Company for the purpose of including additional roads to be paved at the current prices. Mr. Norris recommended approval of the Extra Work Order using the existing contract with Arundel Asphalt. If this cannot be done, then the County would use SHA's increased cost or void the Change Order.

Commissioner Dean moved, seconded by Commissioner Millison, to approve said Extra Work Order. Motion carried.

3) PERMANENT DRAINAGE EASEMENT
SPRING VALLEY SUBDIVISION

Mr. Norris presented a Permanent Drainage Easement by and between and the Board of County Commissioners for drainage improvements on Lot 84 of Spring Valley Subdivision, Eighth Election District.

Commissioner Dean moved, seconded by Commissioner Sayre, to authorize Commissioner President Aud to sign said Easement. Commissioner Millison abstained. Motion carried.

4) ADDENDUM TO PUBLIC WORKS AGREEMENTS
WILDEWOOD SUBDIVISION, NEIGHBORHOOD III, CLUSTER II

Mr. Norris presented the following Addendums to Public Works Agreements for the Commissioners' review and approval:

Addendum to Public Works Agreement by and between Paragon Builders, Inc., Wildewood Subdivision, Section Neighborhood III, Cluster II, Eighth Election District, extending the deadline for completion of Wildewood Parkway to September 1, 1985 and reducing the value of the Letter of Credit to \$15,000.

Addendum to Public Works Agreement by and between Paragon Builders, Inc., Wildewood Subdivision, Section Neighborhood III, Cluster II, Eighth Election District, extending the deadline for completion of Scotch Pine Court to September 1, 1985 and reducing the value of the Letter of Credit to \$10,125.

Commissioner Arnold moved, seconded by Commissioner Sayre, to approve and authorize Commissioner President Aud to sign said Addendums. Commissioner Dean abstained. Motion carried.

5) JANITORIAL RESPONSIBILITIES

Mr. Norris requested approval to expand the responsibilities of the janitorial staff to include the C.I.D. office, which is a rental located across from the Courthouse. It is currently being cleaned by inmates on an infrequent basis.

Commissioner Millison moved, seconded by Commissioner Dean, to grant this request. Motion carried.

PROCLAMATION NO. 85-32
VOLUNTEER WEEK

Present: Jonathan Nelson
Bob Johnson
Pritsy Klear
Margaret Goddard
Pat Gibson
Terri Insley

The Commissioners presented the referenced Proclamation designating the week of April 22-28, 1985 as Volunteer Week in St. Mary's County.

PROCLAMATION NO. 85-37
HOMEMAKERS' WEEK

Present: Viola Gardner
Edith Marek
Pearl Lee
Louise Thomas
Coletta Koliscak
Belva Rowe
Ruth Dishmon

The Commissioners presented the referenced Proclamation designating the week of May 5-12, 1985 as Homemakers' Week in St. Mary's County.

PROCLAMATION NO. 85-33
RESPECT FOR LAW WEEK

Present: Biggie Goode
Timmy Guy

The Commissioners presented the referenced Proclamation designating the week of May 1-7, 1985 as Respect For Law Week.

OFFICE OF PLANNING AND ZONING
REZONING HEARINGS

1:00 P.M.

The full complement of the St. Mary's County Board of Commissioners were present: George Aud, Ford Dean, David Sayre, Richard Arnold and Larry Millison. Staff present included: Frank J. Gerred, Director, Robin Guyther, Planner, and Anita M. Meridith, Recording Secretary.

Members of the audience included: Robert Freeman, David Musser, Teresa Musser, Mary H. Taylor, John F. Taylor, Jr., Jim Kenney, Julius J. Finnacom, Harry W. Barrett, R. W. Craddock, John Baggett, Jack A. Taper.

Mr. Guyther read the Notice of Public Hearing aloud, as advertised in "The Enterprise" Newspaper, a publication of county-wide circulation, on Friday, April 5, 1985, providing legal notice for the following public hearing(s):

ZONE # 84-1778: HARRY BARRETT

Requesting rezoning of 2.7 acres from R-1, Rural-Residential, to CM, Commercial Marine. The property is located at the end of Smith Subdivision Road in the First Election District, shown on Tax Map 70, Block 5, as Parcel 107.

Commissioner Aud announced that this first advertised case would not be considered at this time. Mr. Guyther explained that the Planning Commission had not received the additional information requested of the applicant, nor had they formulated any recommendation. In addition, staff has not made any analysis or report on the matter.

At this point, Mr. Harry Barrett came forward and urged that his case be heard this date, as he felt that he had all the information that was necessary in order for this application to go forward. Mr. Barrett stressed that the Planning Commission's concerns regarding his "additional plans" would be satisfied, as he had a prepared plat in possession, this

date, which provided all those details. The applicant explained that he was seeking rezoning of this additional 2½ acres in order that he could move the boats from the existing pier some 200 - 300 ft. to the railway

Mr. Gerred reminded the Commissioners that the State Law with the Critical Areas Commission, required this applicant to submit certain information with regard to the water quality and wildlife habitat. Pending submittal and review of those facts, the Commissioners would then be required to base their findings on that information. In absence of said information, the case was deferred.

ZONE # 84-1221: ESSEX SOUTH EAST (Darchap, Inc.)

Requesting rezoning of approximately 8.13 acres from PDR 2.0, Planned Development Residential, to C-2, Commercial. The property is located on Willows Road in the Eighth Election District, Tax Map 51, Block 4, Part of Parcel 176.

Mr. James A. Kenney, III, Esq. came forward and noted his appearance as counsel for the applicant, Mr. Julius J. Finnacom.

Entered into evidence, marked applicant's Exhibit No. 1, find postal receipts from the certified letters of notification sent to all contiguous property owners providing legal advisement of this public hearing. Counsel advised that the notices constituted property owners within and well beyond the 200 ft. contiguous limitation. Correspondingly introduced into the record, marked Applicant's No. 2, was a photograph offering visual evidence of posting of the placard on site.

The Planning Commission record was entered into this formal proceeding.

Referencing the site map (property located along Willows Road), contained within staff's report, Mr. Kenney advised that this site was shown in relation to the total property in which the applicant shared ownership, known as Essex South-East. The property, prior to 1974, was zoned commercial and was downzoned during the Comprehensive Rezoning of 1974. Subsequently, Mr. Finnacom applied, and was ultimately granted, a PUD zoning that did not increase the density (met AICUZ density requirements and original R-2 zoning), and was effected as a means to allow clustering. Mr. Kenney explained that the former clustering proposal occurred as a result of AICUZ considerations and proposed a shifting of the development from one side of the property to the other. Counsel felt that the key issue of concern on the part of the County pertained to the "balance of the property." The applicant's understanding was that the County did not want residential development in the AICUZ area. Mr. Kenney noted that the subject property, now inclusive of some 8+ acres (part has been conveyed to the contiguous Baptist Church) has been addressed as a separate piece of property, and could be verified through documentation which he presented for the record (tax bill for the property).

A plat of the property was displayed and counsel noted that area which had been conveyed to the County for drainage purposes. Counsel agreed with staff, that the ultimate development of this property, at least from a procedural standpoint, would have to transpire via submittal of an amendment to the existing development plan. Counsel felt that the main issue, at this point, was whether or not, "the County feels that they're entitled to own this piece of land, under the open space dedication for the balance of the tract."

Mr. Kenney offered that the applicant was willing to convey the back portion of the land for open space or for dedication of a right-of-way, if deemed necessary. Counsel stressed that additionally, Mr. Finnacom had not been apprised of the fact that "if he could come up with a proposed use, that was different than a residential use and it met the AICUZ requirements, that he simply was surrendering the entire tract."

Mr. Kenney reflected that the threshold question was whether or not the Commissioners were going to "insist" on the conveyance of the property as open space as part of the PDR plan.

Correspondence included in the file was referenced, indicated as documentation addressing legal arguments regarding change/mistake, which counsel noted he would not reiterate at this point.

Mr. Guyther offered history of the application as follows: When the first zoning on the property was accomplished in 1978, there was an agreement that the owners would donate to the County thirty-two (32) acres to be dedicated as open space. In reviewing the records of that previous action (which have been reduced to microfiche) the staff determined (noted visually) the area of dedication. During the former rezoning to PDR 2.0, an agreement was made, as a result of AICUZ considerations, to donate the open space to the County. Staff stressed that the applicant was correct, in that there had been no requirement to donate the land at that time, and would only be accomplished at such time as the property was developed (there have been no building permits issued to date). Staff felt that the most important consideration was that the subject property was situated in the AOA-3 area which specifically restricted C-2 zoning. Mr. Guyther noted that the Planned Development Commercial was permitted in the AOA-3, due to the fact that this type of development required an applicant to provide elevations, density and population projections for review by the Navy, in order to determine whether that proposal would be compatible. Staff referenced various documentation contained within the OPZ files which referenced the 32 acres of open space discussed in the former action.

Staff advised that the Planning Commission had issued a recommendation for denial of the application because of the C-2 restriction in the AOA-3 zone and based upon the former action which designated the 32 acres as open space. Further, the Planning Commission found that there had not been a mistake in zoning the property, as the former zoning was accomplished per applicant's request (PDR) nor had there been a change in the character of the neighborhood, the neighborhood being defined as the downtown Lexington Park area, which has historically been an office/retail area.

Staff advised that Pax River N.A.S. representative, Mr. Wilson Craddock, was present this date, to verify for the record, that the Navy supported the Planning Commission's recommendation, that the C-2 zoning be denied.

Mr. Kenney spoke at length to what he termed was the distinction between uses and reference to zones. In summation, he felt that the Commissioners were basically faced with a policy issue.

Mr. Gerred advised that when the application was made for the initial development of the property, he personally played a key role in the negotiations between the applicant, Planning Commission and Navy. He informed that the Navy had agreed to a degree of development with the proviso that a guarantee be provided to insure that the remainder of the land remain free from future development. Mr. Gerred stressed that this was one of the basis upon which the former PDR proposal had been made. On the other hand, Mr. Gerred felt that it was proper for the applicant to abandon that former plan and submit details for an alternate proposal. Mr. Gerred agreed with counsel's summation of this latest dilemma, i.e. how much open space would benefit the County in terms of impact upon the AICUZ.

Commissioner Dean asked what specific commercial development of the property was envisioned. Counsel noted that the Ordinance restricted certain types of commercial development and that this was obviously, an aspect of the market that the applicant would consider. Counsel stressed that the applicant was prepared to restrict certain uses and would certainly make every effort to protect the integrity of the area.

Commissioner Arnold questioned the distance to the closest residential dwelling. Mr. Finnacom responded that the closest residence was approximately 200 ft. from the property line to the building site.

Testimony was opened to the public.

Mrs. Teresa Musser, resident of Colony Square, advised that she had been designated as spokesperson for 43 individuals who were opposed to this rezoning, evidenced by a petition, marked Opponent's No. 2, which was based on the premise that a rezoning of this property would "result in a loss of the quiet and secluded atmosphere we now enjoy. We envision a deterioration in the safety of the neighborhood for playing children and a substantial decrease in property value. Moreover, we view this redistricting as an example of the haphazard and irresponsible urban planning that has marred so many small communities. The expansion of the commercial district into one of the few remaining wooded areas of Lexington Park runs contrary to the judicious use of urban property and suggests uncaring opportunism."

Mr. Robert Freeman provided a petition of opposition, signed by 37 residents of the Colony Square development (marked Opponent's No. 3). Mr. Freeman stressed that these two petitions constituted some 80 individuals who were opposed to this rezoning.

Mr. Guyther reflected that since the issue of change or mistake had not been addressed, he asked for a clarification of what the Commissioners would be addressing. Commissioner Dean responded that it was his understanding, that Mr. Kenney was seeking a determination by the County Commissioners as to whether or not the applicant could proceed, in some manner, with the development of this property, and if so, under what parameters. Mr. Dean asked Mr. Kenney specifically whether he was asking for a decision on the rezoning issue. Mr. Kenney responded, "Except to the extent...we believe that this property could be used in a commercial sense, then I understand that you have to amend the development plan and file a development plan...I don't disagree with Robin at all on that. There is some discussion in the Ordinance, I'm not perfectly clear on that, that you have to have a parent zone on which to proceed. In other words, I think you should theoretically rezone this property, but under the AOA restrictions you couldn't do anything on it, until you did these other things. You give it a parent zoning, and it's my opinion, it doesn't really permit you to use it, but it at least gives you a basis on which to proceed to know how you can do that..." Based on counsel's response, Mr. Guyther again cautioned the Commission that a C-2 zoning district was prohibited in the AOA-3 area and he felt that to rezone the property to C-2 was a direct contradiction to the Ordinance.

The Chair advised that the record would remain open for ten (10) days to allow for written comment into the record, however, the hearing would be closed.

JAIL ADVISORY COMMITTEE

Present: John Norris, Director, Dept. of Public Works
Wayne Pettit, Sheriff
Al Smith, Jail Administrator
Walter Dorsey, State's Attorney
Joseph O'Dell, Director, Budget & Data Services
Edward V. Cox, County Administrator
Fred Wallace, Citizen Rep. on Committee
Bill Moseley, Moseley-Hening Associates

The referenced individuals appeared before the Commissioners to present a brief overview of the Site and Conceptual Design Study for the County Detention Center and to present the recommendations of the Jail Advisory Committee.

Mr. Moseley displayed and described the plans for the proposed facility, and the Committee presented correspondence to the Board recommending that the County construct a single story, 108 cell facility at the Governmental Center site; total estimated cost -\$7,487,613; and further recommending that the County employ the architectural firm of Moseley-Hening Associates to prepare the design and construction documents for the project.

During discussion, Commissioner Millison indicated that he was opposed to the construction of the detention center and stated that he felt that the current facility was adequate for individuals who commit serious crimes and a much smaller facility could be constructed for individuals who commit lesser crimes.

After lengthy discussion, Commissioner Dean moved, seconded by Commissioner Aud, to accept the recommendation of the Jail Advisory Committee and approve the site plan as presented and the site as shown on the plan for the location of the new jail; and further that the County retain the firm of Moseley-Hening Associates, Inc. as the architect on this project. The Commissioners voted four to one, with Commissioner Millison voting against. Motion carried.

ADJOURNMENT

The meeting adjourned at 2:45 p.m.

Approved,


George R. Aud
President