

November 19, 1957

All Board members and their attorney met today in general session.

Dr. Locke appeared relative to a 35 Atract of land in the 8th Dist. owned by Jack Daugherty, opposite Immaculate Heart of Mary Church, on the 3 Notch road which would be suitable for a public dump. He had contacted Daugherty who asked that the Commissioners make an offer for the land, which he is willing to lease so long as it is not a nuisance to the church opposite. He will accept a long term lease. Dr. Locke was told to ascertain what Daugherty would consider a fair price for a long term lease 5-7 years. Dr. Locke also spoke of a tract to the rear of Hutcherson's place - owner is now absent but he was told to ascertain the price desired for that tract also. Dr. Locke feels the Board should view Daugherty's land which if obtainable would solve a huge problem. The Board expressed their willingness to go with Dr. Locke to this acreage.

State Roads Commission requests a meeting with the Board, their attorney and the 3 State legislators. All were contacted and agreed to meet in Balto with the State Roads commission at 10 a.m. Thursday December 5, 1957 at ten am. Mr. Stone will be absent at this meeting but asks that they bring to the attention of the SRC State Road route 5 St Mary's City to Ridge stepped up. 5 mi road.

Bill from St Mary's Hospital, re Reginald Barker - apprehended by Sheriff after he escaped from mental institution in Va. Hospitalized 19 hrs, and several xrays were taken. Bill of \$64 Board feels is very high. Clerk asked to write hospital and ask for proper bill. Barker was admitted Oct 10, 7:30 pm and released Oct 11, at 2:30 pm 19 hours.

Board adjourned at 3:15 pm after considering the matter of publishing the audit for 1 issue in both papers week of Nov. 27-1957 legal rates 12pt caps, 8ppt type on 9 pt base for Beacon and 9 on 9, with 12 pt caps for Enterprise.

approved

Leonard S. Alvey
president

NOTICE OF PUBLIC HEARING

In accordance with Article 66B, Annotated Code, State of Maryland, 1951 edition, notice is hereby given that a Public Hearing will be held by the County Commissioners of St. Mary's County, upon an application by property owners to zone to "A Residential" all those lands originally known as "Drumcliffs" and bounded as follows;—northeast by Patuxent River, southeast by St. Thomas Creek and Hayden Gattin property, southwest by Leonard and Lawrence Raley, and northwest by Walter Henderson property, all located in the Sixth Election District of St. Mary's County, Maryland.

This PUBLIC HEARING will be held in the Court House, Leonardtown, Md., at 2:30 p. m. on Tuesday, NOVEMBER 26, 1957, at which time arguments for and against the zoning will be heard.

By Order of the County Commissioners of St. Mary's County, Md.,

LEONARD S. ALVEY,

President

Sara M. Clerk, Clerk

117-3

ST. MARY'S COUNTY
PLANNING AND ZONING COMMISSION

LEONARDTOWN, MARYLAND

TELEPHONE: GREENWOOD 5-2081

5-4941

November 6, 1957

WEBSTER BELL
CHAIRMAN
ERNEST L. STONE
COMMISSIONER MEMBER
HIRAM MILLISON
J. CLAUDE JOHNSON
JOHN W. ROACHE

ADDRESS ALL COMMUNICATIONS TO
Mrs. TELL W. NICOLET
SECRETARY ~~130 W. PINE ST. LEONARDTOWN~~
LEXINGTON PARK, MD.
PHONE: ~~GREAT HILLS 1134~~
Volunteer 3-4431

Commissioners of St. Mary's County
Leonardtown, Maryland

Dear Sirs:

This Commission held its Public Hearing on the zoning to A-residential of all those lands known as "Drumcliffs" in the 6th Election District and recommends the zoning.

It is requested that you advertise and hold your Public Hearing on this matter as soon as possible.

Very truly yours,

Mildred F. Nicolet

(Mrs.) Mildred F. Nicolet, Secretary

Scha-hill
Hollywood, Maryland
November 23, 1957

Leonard S. Alvey, President
St. Mary's County Commission
Leonardtown, Maryland

Subject: "A" Residential Zoning, Drumcliffs.

Dear Sir:

As we previously pointed out Drumcliffs is not suitable for zoning and we are against it.

Zoning our property would mean a considerable loss to us. It would mean the relocation of ~~our~~ experimental station which in affect is a machine shop.

Up to several weeks ago, we did not know that zoning was contemplated and ever since we purchased the land in the year of 1948, we have been planning and constructing the experimental station. The station is a small building now being taxed by the county. Several new buildings are being planned. In other words expansion is our aim.

Removal of this shop from the location and the purchase of new land would cost us near to \$50,000.

Therefore we expect to be reimbursed by the parties responsible, if the zoning goes into effect.

We are requesting the zoning board not to make a hasty decision.

Yours truly,

Alfred H. Schaefer
Catherine S. Schaefer